### COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

### BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

THE TARIFF FILING OF GTE SOUTH	)		
INCORPORATED TO REMOVE THE	)	CASE NO. 9	96-136
EXISTING RESALE PROHIBITION	)		
APPLICABLE TO 1+ INTRALATA TOLL	)		

# ORDER

On March 15, 1996, GTE South Incorporated ("GTE") filed tariff revisions wherein it proposed to remove the existing resale prohibition in its tariff applicable to 1+ intraLATA toll, effective April 14, 1996.

The Commission finds that, pursuant to KRS 278.190, further proceedings are necessary in order to determine the reasonableness of the proposed tariff and that such proceedings cannot be completed prior to the proposed effective date. Moreover, local competition issues are pending decisions in Administrative Case No. 355.1

## IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

- 1. The proposed tariff is hereby suspended for 5 months from April 14, 1996 up to and including September 13, 1996.
- 2. Nothing contained herein shall prevent the Commission from entering a final decision in this case prior to the termination of the suspension period.

Administrative Case No. 355, An Inquiry Into Local Competition, Universal Service, and the Non-Traffic Sensitive Access Rate.

3. Within 30 days from the date of this Order, GTE shall file responses to the questions contained in Appendix, A attached hereto and incorporated herein.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 10th day of April, 1996.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

For the Commission

ATTEST:

Executive Director

## APPENDIX A

APPENDIX TO AN ORDER OF THE KENTUCKY PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION IN CASE NO. 96-136 DATED APRIL 10, 1996.

- 1. Provide a cost study supporting the proposed tariff, including all forecasts and assumptions.
- 2. In its cover letter, GTE states, "GTE South Inc. is filing this tariff in order to allow competing carriers instant dialing parity for intraLATA toll while the negotiations contemplated under Sections 251 and 252 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 are completed and the necessary facilities and processes are installed to implement intraLATA equal access."
  - a. Explain whether such negotiations are under way.
  - b. Explain the nature of these negotiations.
- c. Explain how and why Sections 251 and 252 of this Act are relevant to GTE's implementing intraLATA toll competition.